



## **Texas Department of Insurance**

### **Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • [www.tdi.texas.gov](http://www.tdi.texas.gov)

## **MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

VISTA SURGICAL CENTER WEST  
4301 VISTA RD  
PASADENA TX 77504-2117

#### **Respondent Name**

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

#### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 54

#### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-04-4894-01

#### **MFDR Date Received**

January 2, 2004

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "reimbursement should be in an amount which is the applicable Medicare reimbursement rate at the time of the date of service multiplied by 213.3% to 290% depending on the complexity of the procedure performed. In the case of outliers, greater reimbursement should be allowed. The amount of reimbursement based on this formula is \$710.29."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$3,230.19

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Respondent's Position Summary:** It is this carrier's position that a) the disputed date of services is out of jurisdiction, b) the requester failed to produce any evidence that its billing for the disputed procedures is fair and reasonable; c) this carrier's [sic] payment is consistent with fair and reasonable criteria established in Section 413.011(b) of the Texas Labor Code; d) Medicare fair and reasonable reimbursement for similar or same facility services is below this carrier's, and e), the Commission has concluded that charges cannot be validated as true indicators of the facility's costs."

**Response Submitted by:** Texas Mutual Insurance Company, 221 West 6<sup>th</sup> St, Ste. 300, Austin, TX 78701-3403

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 17, 2003	Ambulatory Surgical Services	\$3,230.19	\$0.00

### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 sets forth general provisions related to use of the fee guidelines.

3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth general provisions related to reimbursement policies and guidelines.
4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - JX – FAIR AND REASONABLE REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE ENTIRE BILL IS MADE ON THE “O/R SERVICE” LINE ITEM.
  - RD – THE REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE SERVICE RENDERED HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE FAIR AND REASONABLE BASED ON BILLING AND PAYMENT RESEARCH AND IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LABOR CODE 413.011(B).
  - YO – REIMBURSEMENT WAS REDUCED OR DENIED AFTER RECONSIDERATION OF TREATMENT/SERVICE BILLED.

## **Findings**

1. This dispute relates to ambulatory surgical services with reimbursement subject to former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(c), effective May 16, 2002, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, which requires that “Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers’ Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission.”
2. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor’s position statement asserts that “reimbursement should be in an amount which is the applicable Medicare reimbursement rate at the time of the date of service multiplied by 213.3% to 290% depending on the complexity of the procedure performed. In the case of outliers, greater reimbursement should be allowed. The amount of reimbursement based on this formula is \$710.29.”
  - Review of the submitted information finds insufficient documentation to support the Medicare rate calculation for the services in dispute.
  - While the Division has previously found that Medicare patients are of an equivalent standard of living to workers’ compensation patients (22 *Texas Register* 6284), Texas Labor Code §413.011(b) requires that “In determining the appropriate fees, the commissioner shall also develop one or more conversion factors or other payment adjustment factors taking into account economic indicators in health care and the requirements of Subsection (d). . . . This section does not adopt the Medicare fee schedule, and the commissioner may not adopt conversion factors or other payment adjustment factors based solely on those factors as developed by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.” The Division cannot therefore favorably consider a reimbursement based solely on the Medicare fee schedule reimbursement amounts.
  - The requestor did not discuss or provide documentation to support the complexity of the procedure performed. Nor did the requestor explain how that complexity should be considered in selecting a specific payment adjustment factor from within the proposed range.
  - The requestor did not provide documentation to support how a specific payment adjustment factor amount should be selected from within the proposed range.
  - In support of the requested reimbursement, the requestor submitted redacted explanations of benefits, and selected portions of EOBs, from various sample insurance carriers. However, the requestor did not discuss or explain how the sample EOBs support the requestor’s position that additional payment is due. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not establish that the sample EOBs are for services that are substantially similar to the services in dispute. The carriers’ reimbursement methodologies are not described on the EOBs. Nor did the requestor explain or discuss the sample carriers’ methodologies or how the payment amount was determined for each sample EOB. The requestor did not discuss whether such payment was typical for such services or for the services in dispute.
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
  - The requestor did not support that the requested alternative reimbursement methodology would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

## **Conclusion**

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

## ***ORDER***

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

## **Authorized Signature**

_____	<u>Grayson Richardson</u>	<u>December 5, 2013</u>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## ***YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL***

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**